

22nd January 1933.

Sir Arthur Currie,  
McGill University,  
Montreal.

Dear Sir Arthur,

I have reviewed the figures which have to do with the cost of the construction of the Neurological Institute and by careful pruning have reduced the cost of equipment by \$8,782.00. This, together with the architect's reduction of \$3,548.00 results in a further saving of \$12,330.00. This still leaves an excess of \$65,711.40 over the original estimate.

In reconsidering the whole undertaking I cannot see how a really serviceable and well-balanced unit can be built for less. We have exceeded the original figures for two reasons. First, because of the necessity of changing the position of the Institute, and second, our under-estimate of the actual size necessary for the building.

If it is understood that the building is to be put up as planned and finished if necessary only at the sacrifice of clinical furnishing and equipment I believe that I would be justified in contributing \$10,000.00 from the Penfield Research Fund with the feeling that the money will actually be used to promote research. I feel a certain stewardship with regard to this fund which was given to me to use for research, and I should like to have it understood that in case the building can be completed and equipped without the use of this \$10,000.00 or a part thereof, that the same will be returned to the fund.

Yours respectfully,

WGP/HL.